G. R. S. 59]

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

1906
(Miscl.)

File No. 158

Serial Nos. 1—6

Papers Filed.

Month ~~April~~ 1910.

SUBJECT.

Sanction to Kshanika to Sri Hucharayaswami idol at Shikarpur

PREVIOUS REFERENCES.

LATER REFERENCES.

638-21

R.S.11] 1909-10.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

(Mulrai)

NOTES.

File No. 158-06

FILE HEADING.

Samprokshane to Sri Kutcharayaswami idol at Shikarpur.

From

The Deputy Commissioner, Shimoga District

No. 291/20, Dated 31. 12 09 Received 5. 1. 10.

Diary No. 1255	Enclos.	Spare Copies	Serial No. 2

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER.

Reporting that in consequence of the interference of Mr. R. T. Mahadeva Rao, Retd Assistant Commissioner, it became necessary to perform Samprokshane to the Swami and suggesting that the cost of Samprokshane may be recovered from him

NOTES AND ORDERS.

Z In May 1907, certain non-brahmin devotees of the Sri Kutcharayaswami Temple at Shikarpur having complained to this office that their offerings to the idol were rejected by the Archaks during that year's car fes

Y the matter was referred to the Deputy Comm^r. in Disp^r

NOTES—First sheet

GPB—30,000—3-1-07

and Revenue Secretariat. | Misc File No. 158 of 1906

Serial No.

X

The Amildar met both the parties and made them come to a settlement by which the non-brahmins should offer their offerings after those of the Brahmins were over and that such offerings should be made through the Jois of the place. This fact having been brought to the notice of the Deputy Commr, the latter Officer gave instructions to the Amildar to see that there was no occasion for any complaint during the next year's car festival. Thus the petn referred to above was disposed of.

In the car festival of 1908, however, disputes again arose between the Brahmins and the non-brahmins of Shikarpur, with the result that a samprokshane had to be performed to the idol and the drawing of the car was delayed on that account. The statements and complaints of the rival parties have all been referred to at length in the two memos prepared in the Dy Commr's Office and submitted with the file. The Deputy Commr reports in this connection that Mr R T Mahadeva Rao, Sub Dy Officer violated a long established custom by getting up the car (Brahmaratha) and presenting fruits etc to the Devaru on the car before it was taken by the Brahmins to the mandap place and that his example has encouraged the non-brahmins of the locality to put forward their claims to privileges to which they are entitled by mamool,

W + IV,

NOTE.—Contn. sheet.

GPB—55,000—27-4-09

Revenue Secretariat. | Misc. File No. 158 of 1906

Serial No.

suggests
and that as it was only in consequence of Mr
Mahadeva Rao's action that it became necessary
to perform Samprokshane on the occasion, the
cost of such Samprokshane may be recovered
from him. Mr Mahadeva Rao's explanation has not been
called for
Subd with a draft approval & other Orders.
4/7.2.10 Mr Mahadeva Rao having stated that
Dr Cw Cannot Subsist Explanation. If this
views do not show the cost of Samprokshane
You may enquire what it is. GJ
7/2/1910

Draft Subd
4/21/3/10

Sd:

Issue
87
1/3/1910

To The Deputy Commissioner, Shimoga DC
No. 2261 dated 5th March 1910

Enquires what was the actual expe incurred for Samprokshane during the car festival of the temple which had to take place in consequence of the interference of the Retd. Assistant Commr. Mr. T Mahadeva Rao on the occasion.

From The same.

No. 399/34 dated 10th March 1910.

Reports that out of the sum of Rs 300 sanctd for the car festival, Rs an expenditure of Rs 2/- was incurred for the Samprokshane

Subd for orders
4/29.3.10

Note—Contn. sheet.

GPB—55,000—27-4-09

Revenue Secretariat.

Jury File No. 158 of 1906

Serial No.

The records show that it is the invariable for the Brahmins to drag the car for some distance and then to return and that then the Malavangal regts the car, takes charge of the idol and receives the offerings of other castes

The tailors, goldsmiths and three other castes say that it was the invariable for their offerings to be received through a Brahmin as soon as the ~~Brahmin~~ offerings of the Brahmins were over and before the dragging of the car They do not claim this now and do not want to offer personal offerings through the Malavangal regts

Shf X

The Brahmins who originally agreed to the presentation of offerings by these castes thro' the Jeer before the car was dragged have lay that the offerings may be presented thro' a Brahmin priest after the car is dragged by the Brahmins These castes do not agree to it

Vide Statement before Tehsildar of 29/1/1909

Apparently the person presenting offerings gets up the car The Brahmins do not like the car to be touched by anybody till they drag and return.

As far as I am aware no person presenting offerings is permitted to go up the car on other days How can I say that Brahmins can, and will, the others, manage a big car I believe the help of Pr.

NOTE—Contn sheet

GPB—30,000—27-4-09

Rao is reprieved; and if I am not mistaken a Union Bank agency will be on the carpet when there are valuable jewels on the coast.

It is not clear if the D.C. wants Govt to decide when the offerings of the devotees are to be accepted in the first instance, but he submits for the orders of Govt the entire correspondence. He has stayed recoveries himself.

Govt need only hear an appeal. It would also be useful to know what actually occurred in Court.

As regards Mr Mahadeva Rao's action, reported by the D.C., it is true there appears stated in the Vernacular records that he was liable to have from 15 to a few 100 strokes of the ladder

Had this reference been received before Mr Mahadeva Rao's petition and we could have heard this person

It seems time that this matter may be disposed.

Submitted to the Chief Commr in the

470 [illegible]

[illegible]

1/4/1910

Draft approved by Chief Commr 8/4/10

Dy Com[illegible], Shimoga Dt No 249 13-4-10

31
4.10

Mr il — L 11.360
Mr 14.4 Mahajan
Mr 14-1.

[illegible] sheet

BPB—5,000—27-4-09

ue

File No. of 190

6

Serial No.

Directo that the matter may be dropped

NOTE—Contn. sheet

GPB—50,000—27.4.09

Serial No.

NOTE—Contn sheet

GPB—50,000—27.4 09

ది సభలో లాంఛనపు ఫార్మ్ కు వచ్చిన ఫలాలు ఫలానికి సాధారణమైనవి — మీకు
ఇవ్వకపోవడం — అలాంటి ఫలాలు ఇంద్ర రాజ్యానికి శత్రువులకు లాంఛనపు; వెళ్ళ
ను సభలో — ప్రతిఫలం కోసం — ఈ రాజ్యంలో ఫలాలు ఇవ్వకపోవడం —
శీతాకాలం — ఇంద్ర రాజు మంత్రి లాంఛన ఫలాలు ఇవ్వకపోవడం వల్ల
రుంది ఫార్ రీజనర్స్ — దుఃఖం రాజు సభలో — ఈ శత్రు రాజ్యానికి
ఈ రాజ్యం అభివృద్ధి ఫలాలు ఇవ్వకపోవడం — బ్రాంచ్ లాంఛన ఫలాలు
దగ్గర ఉంది కాబట్టి ఈ రాజ్యంలో ఫలాలు ఇవ్వకపోవడం వల్ల ఫలాలు
ఇవ్వకపోవడం — ఈ రాజ్యం సభలో ఫలాలు ఇవ్వకపోవడం వల్ల
ఫలాలు ఇవ్వకపోవడం — ఈ రాజ్యం ఫలాలు ఇవ్వకపోవడం వల్ల
రాజ్యం ఫలాలు ఇవ్వకపోవడం వల్ల ఫలాలు ఇవ్వకపోవడం —
ఫలాలు ఇవ్వకపోవడం వల్ల ఫలాలు ఇవ్వకపోవడం — ఈ రాజ్యం
లాంఛన ఫలాలు ఇవ్వకపోవడం వల్ల ఫలాలు ఇవ్వకపోవడం —
ఫలాలు ఇవ్వకపోవడం వల్ల ఫలాలు ఇవ్వకపోవడం — ఈ రాజ్యం

W. Venkatrathna Ramadevi.

35

Muz. 3] Muz F 158-1906

GOVT. OF H. H. THE MAHARAJA
OF MYSORE,

MUZRAI DEPARTMENT.

OFFICIAL MEMORANDUM.

No.

The accompanying Petition No. 5408
dated 28:5:07 received at this
Office from Chimpigana yellappa
and other devotees of the
Sri Hutchanayaswamy temple
at Shikarpur complaining
that their servarthas to
the deity were declined,

is forwarded, ~~together with its enclosures, to~~ the Deputy Comm^r
Shimoga With for disposal

By Order,

Secretary,
Muzrai Department.

BANGALORE,
19

22/6/07 Sent to the petitioner

From H. Venkatramiah Esq
Deputy Commissioner.
Shimoga District

To C.S. Balasundram Iyer
Officiating Secy to Govt. Legislative & Revenue Depts
Mysore Branch

Dated 31st December 1909.

Despatched 3/1/10.

Received

General No. 291
No. 20

Enclosure No. / 9 file

Adverting to his official memo no: 390 of 24th July 09 submits the entire records together with this office memo Dated 6th October 1909. for the orders of Govt.

SA
Note
as
5/1/10
WY

No 291/20 Exhibit file

Deputy

Dated. 31st December 1909

Shimoga

From

M. Narayan Rao Esquire
Deputy Commissioner
Shimoga District

To.

C.S Balasundram Iyer Esqre B.A.
Officiating Secretary to Government
General and Revenue Departments
Mugrai Branch

Sir,

Adverting to your official memorandum no: 390 Dated 24th July 1907 forwarding for disposal petition no: 5408 of 28th may 1907 from Chinnigara Yellappa and other devotees of Sree Kutchariyar Swami at Shikarpur Complaining that their Sêvarthâis to the Dêvaru were declined on the occasion of the car festival of 1907, I have the honor to submit for the orders of Government, the entire correspondence ending with Shikarpur Amildar's Ittilla no. 102 Dated 6th September 1909 together with a copy of memorandum thereon prepared in my office.

2 In this connection I beg to state that the conduct of Mr R.T. Mahadeva Rao as Sub Divisional officer, in himself violating a long-established custom and getting the car and presenting fruits etc to the Devaru

on the car, before it was taken by the Brahmins to the mantal place was most reprehensible. It is needless to state that Mr. Mahadeva Rao's example has encouraged the petitioners to put forward their claims to privileges to which they are not entitled by mamul.

As it was only in consequence of Mr. Mahadeva Rao's action that it became necessary to perform the Sumbrokshana ceremony to the Devaru on the occasion, I beg to suggest that the cost of the Sumbrokshana may be ordered to be recovered from him.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
your most obedient Servant

J. Hanumanthe Rao
For Deputy Commissioner
Shimoga District

Muz. 1]

8 H 4

190

From

To

The Deputy Commissioner,
Shimoga District.

Dated

Despatched 5th March 1910.

Received

General No. 2261

No.

Mans. + Rev — C.

Enclosure No.

With refce to his letter No. 291/201 dated 31st December 1909, has the hona to request to be informed what is the actual expenditure incurred for the Samprokshane during the car festival of the Sri Hutchanyaswami Temple at Shikarpur which took place in April 1908, in consequence of the interference of the Assistant Commissioner Mr. R.T. Mahadeva Rao, on the occasion

2. The favour of an early reply is requested

By Order

1/3/1910

for Secretary

G.P.b—3,000—19-9-07

4/4/1910

VII

D1710

11/3

Class 1908-186-64

From M. Rama Rao Esqre
W. D. Commissioner
Shemoga District

To C.S. Balasundaram Iyer Esqre B.A.
Offg Secy to the Govt of
-General and Revenue Dept

Dated 10th
Despatched
Received
} Immediate Br
March 1910.

General No. 399
Immediate No. 34
Enclosure No. a

Adverting to Secretary's
docket no. 2261
Imm 7. 158-06-3
dated 5th March 1910
reports that a sum of
Rupees 2/- was incurred
for Samprokshane referr
to in the above

Refer
CA
11/3/10
WJ

Shemoga District

Dated 10th March 1910.

From

M. Narain Rao Esquire

Deputy Commissioner

Shemoga District

To

C. S. Balasundaram Iyer Esqre B.A.

Secretary to the Govt of Mysore

General and Revenue Depts.

Mysore Branch.

Sir,

Adverting to your docket No: 2261 Misc. f. 158-06-3 of the 5th March 1910 requesting to be informed of the actual expenditure incurred for Samprokshane of the Sri Hucharaya Swami temple at Shikarpur, I have the honor to state that the Amildar of Shikarpur Taluk reports in his No: 221 of 15 December

December 1909 that a Sum of Rupees 2/- out of Rupees 300/- sanctioned for the Car festivals of that year has been expended towards the Samprokshane Ceremony necessitated by the interferance of Assistant Commissioner Mr Mahadeva Rao

I have the honor to be
Sir,
Your most obedient Servt,

Muthanna Rao
Dy. Commissioner
Shimoga District

File No. 158-06
Serial No. 6

*Diary No. of letter replied to*_____

No. 2491 ex 1906

General and Revenue Secretariat
( )
the 190

To
The Dy Commr
Shimoga District

Date of issue 13th April 1910

Signed by M. A. S. Ayer

Sir,

With reference to correspondence ending with your letter No. 399-34 dated 10th March 1910 about recovering from Mr. Mahadeva Rao the cost of Samprokshane performed to the car of Sri Hucharayaswami at Shikarpur in 1908 I am directed to state that the matter may be dropped as in the Vernacular records it is not explicitly said that Mr Mahadevarao went up the car and so

over.

SUBJECT

Govt have now no opportunity
of hearing his version
of the affair. I am to add
that if the question —
when the ~~petitioners~~ trailers + others
are to present their ~~petitions~~ rights —
has not been ~~set at rest~~ ended
you will now pass an order
in the matter leaving the
aggrieved party to appeal

The vernacular records
are herewith returned

I have &c.

8/4/10

8/4/10

List of enclosures,

Copied by

Examined by

GPB—10,000—23-5-08